

Ramsar Information Sheet

Published on 15 May 2019 Update version, previously published on: 1 January 2002

Denmark (Greenland) Heden



Designation date 27 January 1988 Site number

Coordinates 71°00'46"N 23°55'49"W

Area 261 852,00 ha

Color codes

Fields back-shaded in light blue relate to data and information required only for RIS updates.

Note that some fields concerning aspects of Part 3, the Ecological Character Description of the RIS (tinted in purple), are not expected to be completed as part of a standard RIS, but are included for completeness so as to provide the requested consistency between the RIS and the format of a 'full' Ecological Character Description, as adopted in Resolution X.15 (2008). If a Contracting Party does have information available that is relevant to these fields (for example from a national format Ecological Character Description) it may, if it wishes to, include information in these additional fields.

1 - Summary

Summary

An extensive level tundra area, which gently slopes from a plateau at about 400 m asl. towards the sea. Part of the largest tundra area in Greenland, which gently slopes from a plateau at about 400 m asl. towards the sea to the west. It includes numerous shallow lakes, wet heathland, marshes, saltmarsh, streams and rivers. It is an important staging area for moulting pink-footed and barnacle geese which both meet the 1% population criterion. The biodiversity is relatively high and many other species of waterbirds breed here. Seasonal harvesting of natural resources to limited degree is carried out by local people and hunting is regulated.

2 - Data & location

2.1 - Formal data

2.1.1 - Name and address of the compiler of the	tnis Kis	oller of this R	าเร หเ
---	----------	-----------------	--------

Compiler 1

Name	David Boertmann
Institution/agency	Aarhus University, Institute for Bioscience
	Frederiksborgvej 299 DK-4000 Roskilde Denmark
E-mail	dmb@bios.au.dk
Phone	+45 25580687

2.1.2 - Period of collection of data and information used to compile the RIS

From year 1982

To year 2009

2.1.3 - Name of the Ramsar Site

Official name (in English, French or Spanish)

Heden

2.1.4 - Changes to the boundaries and area of the Site since its designation or earlier update

(Update) A Changes to Site boundary Yes ② No O	
^(Update) The boundary has been delineated more accurately ☑	
(Update) The boundary has been extended	
(Update) The boundary has been restricted □	
(Update) B. Changes to Site area the area has increased	
(Update) The Site area has been calculated more accurately	
^(Update) The Site has been delineated more accurately ☑	
(Update) The Site area has increased because of a boundary extension \Box	
(Update) The Site area has decreased because of a boundary restriction □	

2.1.5 - Changes to the ecological character of the Site

(Update) 6b i. Has the ecological character of the Ramsar Site (including applicable Criteria) changed since the previous RIS?

2.2 - Site location

2.2.1 - Defining the Site boundaries

b) Digital map/image

<1 file(s) uploaded>

Former maps 0

Boundaries description

The western boundary is placed 3 km off the shore. The southern boundary follows the river Sjællandselv. The eastern border is along 23° 23' W longitude. The northern border is a line from the point 71° 15' N, 23° 23' W to the point 71° 21' N, 24° 38' 30"W.

If the site is reduced in size due to mining activities, the reduced site will have a northern border between 71° 21' N, 24° 38.5' W and 71° 18' 45" N, 24° 10' 49" W, a northwestern border between the points 1/71° 18' 45" N, 24° 10' 49" W, 2/71° 11'N, 24° 15' 56" W, 3/71° 08' 21" N, 24° 24' 04" W and 4/71° 07' 24" N, 24° 27' 42" W.

2.2.2 - General location

a) In which large administrative region does the site lie?

Kommuneqarfik Sermersooq

b) What is the nearest town or population centre? Ittoqqortoormiit, 60 km to the east

2.2.3 - For wetlands on national boundaries only

a) Does the wetland extend onto the territory of one or more other countries? Yes O No \odot

b) Is the site adjacent to another designated Ramsar Site on the territory of another Contracting Party? Yes O No \odot

2.2.4 - Area of the Site

Official area, in hectares (ha): 261852

Area, in hectares (ha) as calculated from 261852.13 GIS boundaries

2.2.5 - Biogeography

Biogeographic regions

Regionalisation scheme(s)	Biogeographic region
Other scheme (provide name below)	Mddle Arctic, continental
WWF Terrestrial Ecoregions	Kalallit Nunaat high Arctic tundra

Other biogeographic regionalisation scheme

Middle Arctic, continental according to Bay 1997

3 - Why is the Site important?

3.1 - Ramsar Criteria and their justification

Criterion 1: Representative, rare or unique natural or near-natural wetland type											
	(A)	Critorian 1	4.	Donrocontativo	KO KO	0.5		noti mal	0 5	near patienal watered to	
	√	Cillenon		Representative.	rare	OI	uniciue	naiurai	\mathbf{O}	near-natural welland tvi	Des

Other reasons	This site is the largest continuous low land (< 200 m asl) area in East Greenland.
---------------	--

- ☑ Criterion 2 : Rare species and threatened ecological communities
- ☑ Criterion 3 : Biological diversity

Justification The site supports many populations important to the diversity of high Arctic East Greenland.

- ☑ Criterion 4 : Support during critical life cycle stage or in adverse conditions
- ☑ Criterion 5 : >20,000 waterbirds

Overall waterbird numbers	25000
Start year	2008
Source of data:	Glahder et al. 2010, Boertmann & Nielsen 2010

☑ Criterion 6 : >1% waterbird population

3.2 - Plant species whose presence relates to the international importance of the site

Scientific name	Common name	Criterion 2	Criterion 3	Criterion 4	IUCN Red List	CITES Appendix I	Other status	Justification
Carex chordorrhiza		>	₽		LC		VU on national red list	very rare in Greenland
Draba sibirica			V					limited distribution in Greenland

The flora was studied in detail in the 1980s, but it has not been possible to review these studies in the present context. However, a rare and very localised species, Draba sibirica, is common.

Carex chordorrhiza (very rare in Greenland) have also been found in the site.

3.3 - Animal species whose presence relates to the international importance of the site

0.0 / 11	minar opooloc	Willow pro	001100 1010	atoo to til	0 11 16	orriadional ini	portario	0.	ti io oit			
Phylum	Scientific name	Common name	Criterion	Species contributes under criterion 8 5 7 8		Period of pop. Est.	% occurrence 1)	IUCN Red List	CITES Appendix I	CMS Appendix I	Other Status	Justification
Birds												

			Species qualifies	Spec	cies butes	D	%	IUCN	CITES	CMS		
Phylum	Scientific name	Common name	under criterion 2 4 6 9	und crite	rion	Pop. Size Period of pop. Est.	occurrence 1)	Red List	Appendix I	Appendix I	Other Status	Justification
CHORDATA / AVES	Anser brachyrhynchus	Pink-footed Goose				13620 2008	2.5	LC			national responsibility species	large breeding and moulting populations
CHORDATA / AVES	Arenaria interpres	Ruddy Turnstone						LC				breeding
CHORDATA / AVES	Branta leucopsis	Barnacle Goose				6760 2008	8.3	LC			national responsibility species	large breeding and moulting populations in the area East Greenland/Scotland & Ireland
CHORDATA / AVES	Bubo scandiacus	Snowy Owl						W				breeding
CHORDATA / AVES	Calidris alba	Sanderling						LC				breeding
AVES	Calidris alpina arctica										endemic subspecies	breeder
CHORDATA / AVES	Calidris canutus	Red Knot						NT			national responsibility species	breeder
CHORDATA / AVES	hiaticula	Common Ringed Plover						LC				breeder
CHORDATA / AVES	Falco rusticolus	Gyrfalcon						LC	✓		NT on national red list	breeder
	phaeopus	Whimbrel						LC			NT on national red list	breeder
CHORDATA / AVES	TUITCATTUS	Red Phalarope						LC				breeder
CHORDATA / AVES	TODALUS	Red-necked Phalarope						LC				breeder
CHORDATA / AVES	spectabilis	King Eider						LC				breeder
CHORDATA / AVES	Iongicaudus	Long-tailed Skua						LC				breeder
CHORDATA / AVES	parasiticus	Arctic Skua						LC				breeder
CHORDATA / AVES	Sterna paradisaea	Arctic Tern						LC			NT on national red list	breeding
CHORDATA / AVES	Xema sabini	Sabine's Gull				50		LC			NT on national red list	breeder
Others												
	arcios										VU on national red list	
CHORDATA / MAMMALIA	Ovibos moschatus	muskox						LC				One of the most important areas for muskox in Greenland

RIS for Site no. 389, Heden, Denmark (Greenland)

1) Percentage of the total biogeographic population at the site

Heden is the most important moulting area for barnacle goose in Greenland.

The East Greenland/locland/UK flyway population of Anser brachyrhynchus is growing, and so the Site's population may have also increased. It is likely that its population still meets the Criterion 6, with a higher percentage of occurrence, although no recent data can be provided.

3.4 - Ecological communities whose presence relates to the international importance of the site

<no data available>

4 - What is the Site like? (Ecological character description)

4.1 - Ecological character

The geology is dominated by Jurassic sandstones, which for example is exposed along the rivers. The area generally slopes gently from a plateau (400 m asl.) to the sea, and many rivers (some dries up in summer) traverse this slope. In winter (until May) the area is covered by snow and the sea is frozen until June, although a shore lead develops from May. The tidal amplitude is approx. 1 meter. There is continuous permafrost in the area.

There are many marshes in depressions and along the rivers. The marshes are among the most lush in Northeast Greenland, and relatively tall herb vegetation is seen in some of these marshes and also low scrubs of Salix occur. Along a part of the coast, there are extensive salt marshes and extensive mudflats are exposed at low tide. Ponds are numerous.

In the dry parts dwarf shrub heath and grasslands dominate.

4.2 - What wetland type(s) are in the site?

Marine or coastal wetlands

Walling of coastal wetlands				
Wetland types (code and name)	Local name	Ranking of extent (1: greatest - 4: least)	Area (ha) of wetland type	Justification of Criterion 1
A: Permanent shallow marine waters		1		Representative
E: Sand, shingle or pebble shores		3		Rare
G: Intertidal mud, sand or salt flats		2		Rare

Inland wetlands

ilalia wellalias				
Wetland types (code and name)	Local name	Ranking of extent (1: greatest - 4: least)	Area (ha) of wetland type	Justification of Criterion
Fresh water > Flowing water >> M Permanent rivers/ streams/ creeks		1		Representative
Fresh water > Flowing water >> N: Seasonal/ intermittent/ irregular rivers/ streams/ creeks		4		Representative
Fresh water > Lakes and pools >> O: Permanent freshwater lakes		2		Representative
Fresh water > Lakes and pools >> Tp: Permanent freshwater marshes/ pools		3		Representative

Other non-wetland habitat

Other not-weitand habitat					
Other non-wetland habitats within the site	Area (ha) if known				
dwarf scub heath					
abrasion flats					
fell fields					
icii iicius					

4.3 - Biological components

4.3.1 - Plant species

Optional text box to provide further information				

4.3.2 - Animal species

<no data available>

4.4 - Physical components

4.4.1 - Climate

Climatic region	Subregion
E: Polar climate with extremely cold winters and summers	ET: Tundra (Polar tundra, no true summer)

This Köppen-Gieger	classification system do	not really apply to this site. The site is within the high Arctic climate zone.		
4.4.2 - Geomorphic set	tting			
a) Minimum elevation al	bove sea level (in metres)			
a) Maximum elevation al	bove sea level (in metres)			
	En	tire river basin 🗹		
	Upper par	t of river basin		
	Mddle part of river basin □			
	Lower par	t of river basin		
	More than o	one river basin 🗹		
	No	ot in river basin		
		Coastal ✓		
Please name the river basin	n or basins. If the site lies in a	sub-basin, please also name the larger river basin. For a coastal/marine site, please name the sea or ocean.		
Hall Inlet and Scoresb		3		
4.4.3 - Soil				
		Mneral		
	(Update) Changes	at RIS update No change O Increase O Decrease O Unknown		
		Organic		
	(Update) Changes	at RIS update No change O Increase O Decrease O Unknown		
		ble information 🗹		
4.4.4 - Water regime	change as a result of changin ons (e.g., increased salinity or	acidification)?		
Water permanence Presence?	Changes at RIS update			
Usually permanent water present	No change			
Usually seasonal, ephemeral or intermittent water present	No change			
Source of water that maintain	s character of the site			
Presence? Water inputs from rainfall /	Predominant water source	Changes at RIS update		
snowfall	✓	No change		
Water destination				
Presence?	Changes at RIS update			
Marine	No change			
Stability of water regime				
Presence? Water levels fluctuating	Changes at RIS update			
(including tidal)	No change			
	on the water regime and its de rimarily snow and not rai	eterminants (if relevant). Use this box to explain sites with complex hydrology:		
4.4.5 - Sediment regim	e			
Signific	cant erosion of sediments occ	urs on the site		
		at RIS update No change O Increase O Decrease O Unknown ⊚		
Significant accretion of	or deposition of sediments occ			

(Indata) a	0. 0- 0 8
	e No change O Increase O Decrease O Unknown ⊚
Significant transportation of sediments occurs on or through the site	
	e No change O Increase O Decrease O Unknown ⊚
Sediment regime is highly variable, either seasonally or inter-annually	
(Update) Changes at RIS update	e No change O Increase O Decrease O Unknown ■
Sediment regime unknown	
4.4.C. Water pl	
4.4.6 - Water pH	
Acid (pH<5.5)	
	e No change O Increase O Decrease O Unknown ⊚
Circumneutral (pH: 5.5-7.4)	
	e No change O Increase O Decrease O Unknown ⊚
Akaline (pH>7.4)	
	e No change O Increase O Decrease O Unknown ⊚
Unknown	
4.4.7 - Water salinity	
Fresh (<0.5 g/l)	
	e No change O Increase O Decrease O Unknown ⊚
Mxohaline (brackish)/Mxosaline (0.5-30 g/l)	
	e No change O Increase O Decrease O Unknown ⊚
Euhaline/Eusaline (30-40 g/l)	
, , ,	e No change O Increase O Decrease O Unknown ⊚
Unknown	
Olidowi	
4.4.8 - Dissolved or suspended nutrients in water	
Eutrophic	
(Update) Changes at RIS update	No change O Increase O Decrease O Unknown ⊚
Mesotrophic	
(Update) Changes at RIS update	No change O Increase O Decrease O Unknown ⊚
Oligotrophic	
	No change O Increase O Decrease O Unknown ⊚
Dystrophic	-
(Update) Changes at RIS update	No change O Increase O Decrease O Unknown ⊚
Unknown	
4.4.9 - Features of the surrounding area which may affect to	the Site
Please describe whether, and if so how, the landscape and ecological characteristics in the area surrounding the Ramsar Site differ from the site itself.	e i) broadly similar ○ ii) significantly different ⊚
Surrounding area has greater urbanisation or development	t 🗆
Surrounding area has higher human population density	√□
Surrounding area has more intensive agricultural use	
Surrounding area has significantly different land cover or habitat types	5 ☑
Please describe other ways in which the surrounding area is different:	
The surrounding areas are dominated by high mountains	and open sea.

4.5 - Ecosystem services

4.5.1 - Ecosystem services/benefits

Provisioning Services

1 Townstorming Oct vioces					
Ecosystem service Examples		Importance/Extent/Significance			
Food for humans	Sustenance for humans (e.g., fish, molluscs, grains)	Medium			

Cultural Services

Ecosystem service	Examples	Importance/Extent/Significance
Spiritual and inspirational	Cultural heritage (historical and archaeological)	Low
Scientific and educational	Major scientific study site	High

Supporting Services

Ecosystem service	Examples	Importance/Extent/Significance
Biodiversity	Supports a variety of all life forms including plants, animals and microorganizms, the genes they contain, and the ecosystems of which they form a part	High

Other ecosystem service(s) not included above

Other ecosystem service(s) not included above	e:
, , ,	d by the site is hunting for geese and muskoxen. archaeological sites (cf. Sandell & Sandell 1991) and an old expedition house "Gurreholm".
Within the site:	100s
Outside the site:	100e

Have studies or assessments been made of the economic valuation of ecosystem services provided by this Ramsar Site?

4.5.2 - Social and cultural values

provides a model of wetland wise use, demonstrating the ftraditional knowledge and methods of management and use that maintain the ecological character of the wetland	
site has exceptional cultural traditions or records of former at have influenced the ecological character of the wetland	
logical character of the wetland depends on its interaction with local communities or indigenous peoples	iii) the ecol
non-material values such as sacred sites are present and e is strongly linked with the maintenance of the ecological character of the wetland	•

<no data available>

4.6 - Ecological processes

<no data available>

5 - How is the Site managed? (Conservation and management)

5.1 - Land tenure and responsibilities (Managers)

5.1.1 - Land tenure/ownership

Pu				

Category		Within the Ramsar Site	In the surrounding area
	Public land (unspecified)	✓	✓

5.1.2 - Management authority

Please list the local office / offices of any agency or organization responsible for managing the site:

Provide the name and title of the person or people with responsibility for the wetland:

Postal address:

Pinngortitamut Avatangiisinullu Naalakkersuisoqarfik
Departementet for Nature and Environment

Karen Motzfeldt, Head of Department for Nature, Climate and Research

Pinngortitamut Avatangiisinullu Naalakkersuisoqarfik
Departementet for Natur og Miljø

Ministry of Nature and Environment
Postboks 1015
3900 Nuuk

E-mail address: pan@nanoq.gl

5.2 - Ecological character threats and responses (Management)

5.2.1 - Factors (actual or likely) adversely affecting the Site's ecological character

Human settlements (non agricultural)

Factors adversely affecting site	Actual threat	Potential threat	Within the site	Changes	In the surrounding area	Changes
Housing and urban areas	Low impact	Low impact		No change	✓	No change
Energy production and mining Factors adversely Actual throat Detaytial throat Within the city Changes In the currequeling area. Changes						

Factors adversely affecting site	Actual threat	Potential threat	Within the site	Changes	In the surrounding area	Changes
Oil and gas drilling	Low impact	High impact	✓	increase	✓	increase
Mining and quarrying	Low impact	High impact	 ✓	increase	✓	increase

Transportation and service corridors

Factors adversely affecting site	Actual threat	Potential threat	Within the site	Changes	In the surrounding area	Changes
Aircraft flight paths	Low impact	Medium impact	✓	increase	✓	increase

Biological resource use

Diological recourse are						
Factors adversely affecting site	Actual threat	Potential threat	Within the site	Changes	In the surrounding area	Changes
Hunting and collecting terrestrial animals	Medium impact	Medium impact	2	No change	/	No change

Human intrusions and disturbance

Factors adversely affecting site	Actual threat	Potential threat	Within the site	Changes	In the surrounding area	Changes
Recreational and tourism activities	Low impact	Low impact	✓	increase	✓	increase

Climate change and severe weather

Offitiale driange and sever	inflate dilange and severe wearing					
Factors adversely affecting site	Actual threat	Potential threat	Within the site	Changes	In the surrounding area	Changes
Habitat shifting and alteration	Low impact	Medium impact	2	increase	2	increase

Please describe any other threats (optional):

Establishment of harbour and airstrip facilities including a road was planned in connection to a mining project to the north of the Ramsar site. These facilities were planned to be placed in the northwest corner of the Ramsar site near the shore. The plans were abandoned in 2008 however, and there has been no activity since. Plans for the reduction of the area of the Ramsar site in relation to the establishment of these facilities were forwarded to the Ramsar Secretariat including a proposal for a replacement area – which was designated in 2011.

The area was subject to intensive oil exploration in the 1980s and -90s. Since then oil activities have been dormant until 2011, when an oil company was awarded two license blocks covering the entire Ramsar site, and aeromagnetic surveys were conducted in 2017 and seismic exploration is expected in years to come.

It was demonstrated that the graminoid productivity in marshes had increased between 1989 and 2008, and that the density of moulting Pink-footed Geese had doubled in the same habitats (Madsen et al. 2011).

5.2.2 - Legal conservation status

National legal designations

Designation type	Name of area	Online information url	Overlap with Ramsar Site
Areas important to wildlife (Anon. 2000)		https://www.govmin.gl/images/sto ries/minerals/rules_for_fieldwor k.pdf	whole
Ramsar site	Heden	http://lovgivning.gl/lov?rid={15 CBC689- E3AD-470D-B32A-947A250D70 62}	whole

Non-statutory designations

Non-statutory designations			
Designation type	Name of area	Online information url	Overlap with Ramsar Site
Important Bird Area	GL044 Heden	http://datazone.birdlife.org/sit e/factsheet/55	whole

5.2.3 - IUCN protected areas categories (2008)

la Strict Nature Reserve L
Ib Wilderness Area: protected area managed mainly for wilderness protection
Il National Park: protected area managed mainly for ecosystem protection and recreation
III Natural Monument: protected area managed mainly for conservation of specific natural features
IV Habitat/Species Management Area: protected area managed mainly for conservation through management intervention
V Protected Landscape/Seascape: protected area managed mainly for landscape/seascape conservation and recreation
M Managed Resource Protected Area: protected area managed mainly –

5.2.4 - Key conservation measures

Legal protection

Measures	Status
Legal protection	Implemented

Other

Low level flying over the site is regulated.

Regulation of traffic at seabird breeding colonies: http://lovgivning.gl/lov?rid={56675241-A0B5-4D4E-89F9-C34D78417539}

5.2.5 - Management planning

Is there a site-specific management plan for the site? No

for the sustainable use of natural ecosystems

Has a management effectiveness assessment been undertaken for the site? Yes O No •

If the site is a formal transboundary site as indicated in section Data and location > Site location, are there shared management planning Yes O No opprocesses with another Contracting Party?

5.2.6 - Planning for restoration

Is there a site-specific restoration plan? No need identified

5.2.7 - Monitoring implemented or proposed

Monitoring	Status	
Birds	Proposed	
Animal community	Proposed	

Monitoring proposed by Egevang & Boertmann 2001

6 - Additional material

6.1 - Additional reports and documents

6.1.1 - Bibliographical references

Anonymous 2000. Rules for fieldwork and reporting regarding mineral resources (excluding hydrocarbons) in Greenland. – Government of Greenland, Bureau of Minerals and Petroleum.

Bay, C. 1997. Floristic division and vegetation zonation of Greenland in relevance to a circumpolar arctic vegetation map: 27-31. In: Proceedings of the second circumpolar arctic vegetation mapping workshop, Arendal, Norway, 19.-24. May 1996. Walker, S. & A.C. Lillie, eds.). – Occasional Paper No. 52, 1997. Institute of Arctic and Alpine Research, University of Colorado.

Boertmann, D. & Nielsen, R.D. 2010. Geese, seabirds and mammals in North and Northeast Greenland. Aerial surveys in summer 2009. – NERI Technical Report No. 773. 66 pp. http://www2.dmu.dk/Pub/FR773.pdf

Boertmann, D., Olsen, K. & Nielsen, R.D. 2009. Seabirds and marine mammals in Northeast Greenland. Aerial surveys in spring and summer 2008. – NERI Technical report no.721. http://www2.dmu.dk/Pub/FR721.pdf

Egevang, C. & Boertmann, D. 2001. The Greenland Ramsar Sites, a status report. – National Environmental Research Institute (NERI), Technical Report No. 346, 96 pp.

Glahder, C.M. & Walsh, A. 2010. Breeding bird densities in the Ramsar site Heden, Jameson Land, East Greenland. – Dansk Orn. Foren. Tidsskr. 104: 131-140.

Glahder, C.M., Boertmann, D., Madsen, J., Tamstorf, M., Johansen, K., Hansen, J., Walsh, A., Jaspers, C. & Bjerrum, M. 2010. Biological baseline study in the Ramsar site "Heden" and the entire Jameson Land, East Greenland. – NERI Technical Report no. 769. National Environmental Research Institute, Aarhus University. 86 p.

Glahder, C.M., Meltofte, H., Walsh, A. & Dinesen, L. 2011. Breeding birds in the Ramsar site Heden and in a proposed Ramsar replacement area in Jameson Land, East Greenland. – NERI Technical Report no. 822. National Environmental Research Institute, Aarhus University. Madsen, J., C. Jaspers, M. Tamstorf, C.E. Mortensen & F. Rigét 2011: Long-term effects of grazing and global warming on the composition and carrying capacity of graminoid marshes for moulting geese in Northeast Greenland. – Ambio 40: 638-649.

Greenland Red List 2007. (Boertmann, D., 2008). Rødliste 2007 over planter og dyr i Grønland. – Danmarks Miljøundersøgelser, Grønlands Hiemmestyre.

Sandell, H.T. & Sandell, B. 1991 Archaeology and environment in the Scoresby Sund fjord. Ethno-archaeological investigations of the last Thule culture of Northeast Greenland. – Meddelelser om Grønland, Man & Society 15, 150 pp.

6.1.2 - Additional reports and documents

i. taxonomic lists of plant and animal species occurring in the site (see section 4.3)

<no file available>

ii. a detailed Ecological Character Description (ECD) (in a national format)

<no file available>

iii. a description of the site in a national or regional wetland inventory

<1 file(s) uploaded>

iv. relevant Article 3.2 reports

<no file available>

v. site management plan

<no file available>

vi. other published literature

<3 file(s) uploaded>

6.1.3 - Photograph(s) of the Site

Please provide at least one photograph of the site:



Southern part of Heden seen towards north. (*David Boertmann*, 10-08-2009)



The Tyskit Nunaat-area with many ponds and breeding habitat for Sabines Gull. (David Boertmann, 17-07-1983)

6.1.4 - Designation letter and related data

Designation letter

<1 file(s) uploaded>

Date of Designation 1988-01-27