

Ramsar Information Sheet

Published on 10 May 2023 Update version, previously published on : 17 April 2018

Norway Runde



Designation date 27 May 2013 Site number 2164

Coordinates 62°24'33"N 05°39'54"E

Area 351,00 ha

Color codes

Fields back-shaded in light blue relate to data and information required only for RIS updates.

Note that some fields concerning aspects of Part 3, the Ecological Character Description of the RIS (tinted in purple), are not expected to be completed as part of a standard RIS, but are included for completeness so as to provide the requested consistency between the RIS and the format of a 'full' Ecological Character Description, as adopted in Resolution X.15 (2008). If a Contracting Party does have information available that is relevant to these fields (for example from a national format Ecological Character Description) it may, if it wishes to, include information in these additional fields.

1 - Summary

Summary

The Site is located on the northwest coast of Norway and it consists of several protected areas on the island Runde, as well as the neighbouring island Grasøyane. Island Runde is exposed to the Norwegian Sea and includes large parts of the western coastline protected as bird reserves, and an inland mire area protected as a nature reserve, Goksøyrmyrane.

The mountains rise steeply around the island Runde, and the island is the southernmost and third largest bird cliff nesting site in Norway. A total of 230 species have been registered here.

Grasøyane is made up by Grasøya, and numerous smaller islets. The island and islets are nesting and moulting sites for numerous bird species.

Goksøyrmyrane is located on the north-western part of the mountain plateau on Runde Island, and consists of mires. The mires are protected as a nature reserve and are important in the context of the surrounding bird sanctuaries. The mires provide nesting sites for species such as the great skua (Stercorarius skua).

2 - Data & location

2.1 - Formal data

 The same decrease of the complication and the
Responsible compiler

Institution/agency Norwegian Environment Agency P.O. Box 5672 Torgarden, N-7485 Trondheim, Norway Postal address Postboks 5672 Sluppen

National Ramsar Administrative Authority

2.1.1 - Name and address of the compiler of this RIS

Postal address Trondheim Norway

2.1.2 - Period of collection of data and information used to compile the RIS

From year 2008 To year 2021

2.1.3 - Name of the Ramsar Site

Official name (in English, French or Runde Spanish)

2.1.4 - Changes to the boundaries and area of the Site since its designation or earlier update

^(Update) A. Changes to Site boundary Yes O No ⊙
(Update) B. Changes to Site area the area has decreased
^(Update) The Site area has been calculated more accurately ☑
^(Update) The Site has been delineated more accurately □
^(Update) The Site area has increased because of a boundary extension □
(Update) The Site area has decreased because of a boundary restriction \Box
^(Update) For secretariat only. This update is an extension □

2.1.5 - Changes to the ecological character of the Site

 $^{ ext{(Update)}}$ 6b i. Has the ecological character of the Ramsar Site (including No applicable Criteria) changed since the previous RIS?

(Update) Optional text box to provide further information

The bird populations nesting on the island has been decrasing in numbers the last years. This follows the trend we see in many sea bird populations globally. At this point we do not consider this to have changed the ecological character of the site. The populations here are closely monitored by the national sea bird program, SEAPOP.

2.2 - Site location

2.2.1 - Defining the Site boundaries

b) Digital map/image

Former maps 0

Boundaries description

The boundaries are the same as for five existing protected areas: Four bird protection areas and one nature reserve. Three of the bird protection areas are on the Runde Island (A): Runde Westside, Runde Northside and Hellestien-Blåfjellet-Kløfjellet-Geita. The fourth bird protected area, Grasøyane (B), is an island situated northeast of Runde. The nature reserve, Goksøyrmyrane (C), is situated on Runde Island.

2.2.2 - General location

a) In which large administrative region does the site lie?	Mare og Romedal
the site lie?	Marc og Normsdar
b) What is the nearest town or population centre?	Ålogund
contro?	Alesuliu
centre	

2.2.3 - For wetlands on national boundaries only

- a) Does the wetland extend onto the territory of one or more other countries? Yes $\ensuremath{\text{O}}$ No $\ensuremath{\text{@}}$
- b) Is the site adjacent to another designated Ramsar Site on the territory of another Contracting Party?

2.2.4 - Area of the Site

Official area, in hectares (ha): 351

Area, in hectares (ha) as calculated from 352.1 GIS boundaries

2.2.5 - Biogeography

Biogeographic regions

Brogoograpino rogiono	
Regionalisation scheme(s)	Biogeographic region
EU biogeographic regionalization	Atlantic

Other biogeographic regionalisation scheme

EU Habitat directive 92/43/EEC

3 - Why is the Site important?

3.1 - Ramsar Criteria and their justification

Criterion 1: Representative, rare or unique natural or near-natural wetland types

Runde is the southernmost bird cliff nesting site in Norway, and has a rich avian community, especially Other reasons cliff-nesting species. The site has been a key site in the national bird monitoring project (SEAPOP) since 2007.

☑ Criterion 2 : Rare species and threatened ecological communities

Optional text box to provide further The site supports large populations of several nationally red-listed species, such as the Atlantic puffin information Fratercula Arctica (EN) and the black-legged kittiwake Rissa tridactyla (EN).

☑ Criterion 3 : Biological diversity

Runde supports populations of bird species important for maintaining the biological diversity in the region. Justification Runde is the most southerly bird cliff in Norway and is important for the expansion of breeding seabirds in the region.

- ☑ Criterion 4 : Support during critical life cycle stage or in adverse conditions
- ☑ Criterion 5: >20,000 waterbirds

Overall waterbird numbers 316 650

Start year 2008

End year 2010

Source of data: (Folkestad 2008, Folkestad og Lorentsen 2010)

3.2 - Plant species whose presence relates to the international importance of the site

<no data available>

3.3 - Animal species whose presence relates to the international importance of the site

Phylum	Scientific name	qua ui crit	ecies alifies nder terion	ur	Species contributes nder criterion		Period of pop. Est.	% occurrence 1)	IUCN Red List	CITES Appendix I	CMS Appendix I	Other Status	Justification
Birds	sirds												
CHORDATA / AVES	Alca torda	V				6000			LC			National red list: Considered as VU	(3000 pairs in 2010) Criteria 2, 3 & 4: Runde supports this species during the breeding season. Criterion 5: Cliff nesting birds dominate the wildlife on the island.
CHORDATA / AVES	Cepphus grylle					100			LC				(50 pairs in 2008) Criteria 2, 3 & 4: Runde supports this species during the breeding season.
CHORDATA / AVES	Falco peregrinus			J 🖳					LC	/			Criterion 4: Important breeding area for this species.
CHORDATA / AVES	Fratercula arctica	V		Q		162000			VU			National red list: Considered as EN	(81000 pairs in 2010) Criteria 2, 3 & 4: Runde supports this species during the breeding season. Criterion 5: Cliff nesting birds dominate the wildlife on the island.
CHORDATA / AVES	Fulmarus glacialis	V		9		11000			LC			National red list: Considered as EN	(5500 pairs in 2010) Criteria 3 & 4: Runde supports this species during the breeding season. Criterion 5: Cliff nesting birds dominate the wildlife on the island.
CHORDATA / AVES	Haliaeetus albicilla	V		V					LC	\checkmark	V		Criterion 4: This species has a strong breeding population on the site.
CHORDATA / AVES	Morus bassanus			V		6000			LC				(3000 pairs in 2010) Criteria 3 & 4: Runde supports this species during the breeding season. Criterion 5: Cliff nesting birds dominate the wildlife on the island.
CHORDATA / AVES	Oceanodroma Ieucorhoa	2							VU				This species is observed on several occasions, but breeding is never confirmed.
CHORDATA / AVES	Phalacrocorax aristotelis					2600							(1300 pairs in 2010) Criteria 3 & 4: Runde supports this species during the breeding season. Criterion 5: Cliff nesting birds dominate the wildlife on the island.
CHORDATA / AVES	Rissa tridactyla	V				34000		1.7	VU			National red list: Considered as EN	(17000 pairs in 2010) Criteria 2, 3 & 4: Runde supports this species during the breeding season. Criterion 5: Cliff nesting birds dominate the wildlife on the island.
CHORDATA / AVES	Somateria mollissima	V							NT			National red list: Considered as VU	Criterion 4: Breeding and moulting area for this species, mainly Grasøyane.
CHORDATA / AVES	Stercorarius skua					50	2010						(25 pairs in 2010) Criteria 3 & 4: Breeding site for this species, especially sub-site Goksjømyrane. Criterion 5: Cliff nesting birds dominate the wildlife on the island.
CHORDATA / AVES	Tadorna tadorna	V		J 🐷					LC			Annex II, Bern convention	Criterion 4: This species is breeding on the site.
CHORDATA / AVES	Uria aalge	V		9		15000			LC			National red list: Considered as CR	(7500 pairs in 2010) Criteria 2, 3 & 4: Runde supports this species during the breeding season. Criterion 5: Cliff nesting birds dominate the wildlife on the island.

Deal list at the increase line at the Nethernal Deal List 0004
Red list status is according to the National Red List 2021.
. To a not obtained to disconding to the real order.

¹⁾ Percentage of the total biogeographic population at the site

3.4 - Ecological communities whose presence relates to the international importance of the site

Name of ecological community	Community qualifies under Criterion 2?	Description	Justification
Coastal heath	2	Semi-natural nature type that require grazing or burning to stay short. Traditionally used as pasture for grazing livestock.	Listed as EN on the Norwegian Red List for Ecosystems and Habitat Types 2018.
Semi-natural grassland	2		Listed as VU on the Norwegian Red List for Ecosystems and Habitat Types 2018.
Bird cliff meadow	2		Listed as VU on the Norwegian Red List for Ecosystems and Habitat Types 2018.
Semi-natural fen	2		Listed as EN on the Norwegian Red List for Ecosystems and Habitat Types 2018.

Optional text box to provide further information

Bird cliff: Steep cliffs that function as important nesting sites for several bird species, such as the Atlantic puffin. Listed as NT on the Norwegian Red List for Ecosystems and Habitat types 2018.

4 - What is the Site like? (Ecological character description)

4.1 - Ecological character

The Site contains Runde island with several steep bird cliffs of high importance to many breeding bird species. The vegetation is characterized by the strong fertilization from the bird guano and the grazing sheep. Rock and sand shores dominate the coastline. The island Grasøyane is surrounded by several small islets and skerries.

The vegetation is varied, from dry meadows to mire areas. There are areas with rich meadows and coastal heath, fertilized by the bird guano. Used as pasture for livestock through centuries.

The mire systems are still characterized by peat harvesting that happened several decades ago when people used peat as fuel. There are three small freshwater ponds as well.

4.2 - What wetland type(s) are in the site?

Marine or coastal wetlands

Wetland types (code and name)	Local name	Ranking of extent (1: greatest - 4: least)	Area (ha) of wetland type	Justification of Criterion 1
D: Rocky marine shores		1		Unique
E: Sand, shingle or pebble shores		2		Unique

Inland wetlands

Wetland types (code and name)	Local name	Ranking of extent (1: greatest - 4: least)	Area (ha) of wetland type	Justification of Criterion 1
Fresh water > Lakes and pools >> Tp: Permanent freshwater marshes/ pools		3		

4.3 - Biological components

4.3.1 - Plant species

Other noteworthy plant species

Phylum	Scientific name	Position in range / endemism / other
TRACHEOPHYTA/LILIOPSIDA	Carex demissa	This species covers large areas of the bog.
TRACHEOPHYTA/LILIOPSIDA	Carex pulicaris	This species covers large areas of the bog.
TRACHEOPHYTA/LILIOPSIDA	Eriophorum vaginatum	This species covers large areas of the bog.
TRACHEOPHYTA/MAGNOLIOPSIDA	Polygala serpyllifolia	This species covers large areas of the bog.
TRACHEOPHYTA/LILIOPSIDA	Trichophorum cespitosum	This species covers large areas of the bog.

4.3.2 - Animal species

Other noteworthy animal species

Phylum	Scientific name	Pop. size	Period of pop. est.	% occurrence	Position in range /endemism/other
CHORDATA/AVES	Larus canus				National red list: considered as VU)
CHORDATA/AVES	Larus marinus				
CHORDATA/AVES	Stercorarius parasiticus				

Invasive alien animal species

Phylum	Scientific name	Impacts	Changes at RIS update
CHORDATA/MAMMALIA	Neovison vison	Actual (minor impacts)	decrease

Optional text box to provide further information

American mink: According to the management plan, the mink population has decreased in the last years, most likely due to a stronger otter population.

4.4 - Physical components

4.4.1 - Climate

C	Climatic region	Subregion
	Noist Mid-Latitude te with cold winters	Dfc: Subarctic (Severe winter, no dry season, cool summer)

Typically oceanic with mild winters and cool summers. Annual mean temperature is 7,6°C and the annual mean precipitation is 1254 mm, with more than 200 days of precipitation each year.

1.4.2 - Geomorphic se	ettina				
	_				
a) Minimum elevation	above sea level (in metres)				
a) Maximum elevation	above sea level (in metres)				
	En	itire river basin			
	Upper par	rt of river basin \square			
	Middle par	rt of river basin \square			
	Lower par	rt of river basin 🗹			
	More than o	one river basin \square			
	No	ot in river basin \square			
		Coastal 🗹			
	in or basins. If the site lies in a	sub-basin, please also nam	e the larger river basin. For	a coastal/marine site, pleas	e name the sea or ocean.
Norwegian Sea					
1.4.3 - Soil					
		Mineral ☑			
	(Update) Changes	at RIS update No change	Increase O Decrease O	Unknown O	
	No availab	ole information 🔲			
Are soil types subject					
	No availab to change as a result of changin tions (e.g., increased salinity or	ng hydrological			
condi	to change as a result of changin	ng hydrological			
condi Please provide further info The soil is poor in nu	to change as a result of changing tions (e.g., increased salinity or rmation on the soil (optional) trients and mainly acidic.	ng hydrological Yes O No @acidification)?			
condi Please provide further info The soil is poor in nu The bedrock is main	to change as a result of changing tions (e.g., increased salinity or rmation on the soil (optional) trients and mainly acidic. Iy composed of layered g	ng hydrological Yes O No @acidification)?		shores	
condi Please provide further info The soil is poor in nu The bedrock is main	to change as a result of changing tions (e.g., increased salinity or rmation on the soil (optional) trients and mainly acidic.	ng hydrological Yes O No @acidification)?		shores.	
condi Please provide further info The soil is poor in nu The bedrock is main Grasøyane is made	to change as a result of changing tions (e.g., increased salinity or rmation on the soil (optional) trients and mainly acidic. Iy composed of layered g	ng hydrological Yes O No @acidification)?		shores.	
Please provide further info The soil is poor in nu The bedrock is main Grasøyane is made	to change as a result of changing tions (e.g., increased salinity or rmation on the soil (optional) trients and mainly acidic. Iy composed of layered g	ng hydrological Yes O No @acidification)?		shores.	
resence? Conditions the soil of the soil	to change as a result of changing tions (e.g., increased salinity or rmation on the soil (optional) trients and mainly acidic. Iy composed of layered g	ng hydrological Yes O No @acidification)?		shores.	
Please provide further info The soil is poor in nu The bedrock is main Grasøyane is made	to change as a result of changing tions (e.g., increased salinity or rmation on the soil (optional) trients and mainly acidic. In composed of layered gup of gneiss and on the expression of the	ng hydrological Yes O No @acidification)?		shores.	
Please provide further info The soil is poor in nu The bedrock is main Grasøyane is made 1.4.4 - Water regime Vater permanence Presence? Usually permanent water present	co change as a result of changing tions (e.g., increased salinity or rmation on the soil (optional) thrients and mainly acidic. By composed of layered gup of gneiss and on the expectation of the expectat	ng hydrological Yes O No @acidification)?		shores.	
Please provide further info The soil is poor in nu The bedrock is main Grasøyane is made 1.4.4 - Water regime Vater permanence Presence? Usually permanent water present	co change as a result of changing tions (e.g., increased salinity or rmation on the soil (optional) thrients and mainly acidic. By composed of layered gup of gneiss and on the expectation of the expectat	ng hydrological Yes O No @acidification)?		shores.	
Please provide further info The soil is poor in nu The bedrock is main Grasøyane is made 4.4.4 - Water regime Vater permanence Presence? Usually permanent water present Source of water that mainta Presence? Water inputs from	co change as a result of changing tions (e.g., increased salinity or rmation on the soil (optional) thrients and mainly acidic. Ity composed of layered gup of gneiss and on the e	ng hydrological acidification)? yes O No @ nneiss. east side of Grasøya an		shores.	
Please provide further info The soil is poor in nu The bedrock is main Grasøyane is made 4.4.4 - Water regime Water permanence Presence? Usually permanent water present Source of water that mainta Presence?	co change as a result of changing tions (e.g., increased salinity or rmation on the soil (optional) thrients and mainly acidic. Ity composed of layered gup of gneiss and on the e	g hydrological acidification)? yes O No @ gneiss. ast side of Grasøya an Changes at RIS update		shores.	
Condi Please provide further info The soil is poor in nu The bedrock is main Grasøyane is made 4.4.4 - Water regime Vater permanence Presence? Usually permanent water present Cource of water that mainta Presence? Water inputs from precipitation Marine water	co change as a result of changing tions (e.g., increased salinity or rmation on the soil (optional) thrients and mainly acidic. Ity composed of layered gup of gneiss and on the e	ng hydrological acidification)? yes O No @ gneiss. east side of Grasøya an Changes at RIS update No change		shores.	
Please provide further info The soil is poor in nu The bedrock is main Grasøyane is made 4.4.4 - Water regime Vater permanence Presence? Usually permanent water present Source of water that mainta Presence? Water inputs from precipitation Marine water	co change as a result of changing tions (e.g., increased salinity or rmation on the soil (optional) thrients and mainly acidic. Ity composed of layered gup of gneiss and on the e	ng hydrological acidification)? yes O No @ gneiss. east side of Grasøya an Changes at RIS update No change		shores.	
The soil is poor in nu. The bedrock is main Grasøyane is made 4.4.4 - Water regime Water permanence Presence? Usually permanent water present Source of water that mainta Presence? Water inputs from precipitation Marine water	co change as a result of changing tions (e.g., increased salinity or remation on the soil (optional) thrients and mainly acidic. By composed of layered gup of gneiss and on the early changes at RIS update Changes at RIS update Predominant water source	ng hydrological acidification)? yes O No @ gneiss. east side of Grasøya an Changes at RIS update No change		shores.	
The soil is poor in number of the so	co change as a result of changinations (e.g., increased salinity or remation on the soil (optional) thrients and mainly acidic. By composed of layered gup of gneiss and on the experience of the site	rig hydrological acidification)? Igneiss. Past side of Grasøya and changes at RIS update No change No change	e rock and shell sand		
The soil is poor in number of the so	co change as a result of changing tions (e.g., increased salinity or remation on the soil (optional) thrients and mainly acidic. By composed of layered gup of gneiss and on the element of the site. Changes at RIS update. Changes at RIS update. No change.	changes at RIS update No change No change	e rock and shell sand the rock		
The soil is poor in number of the so	co change as a result of changinations (e.g., increased salinity or remation on the soil (optional) thrients and mainly acidic. By composed of layered gup of gneiss and on the experience of the site	changes at RIS update No change No change	e rock and shell sand the rock		

4.4.6 - Water pH

Unknown 🗷

Sediment regime unknown

4.4.7 - Water salinity

Mixohaline (brackish)/Mixosaline	(0.5-30	a/l)	\checkmark

 $^{(Update)}$ Changes at RIS update No change lacktriangle Increase lacktriangle Decrease lacktriangle Unknown lacktriangle

Unknown \square

4.4.8 - Dissolved or suspended nutrients in water

Oligotrophic 🗹

(Update) Changes at RIS update No change Increase ODecrease OUnknown O

Unknown \square

4.4.9 - Features of the surrounding area which may affect the Site

Please describe whether, and if so how, the landscape and ecological

characteristics in the area surrounding the Ramsar Site differ from the i) broadly similar O ii) significantly different \odot site itself:

Surrounding area has greater urbanisation or development

Surrounding area has higher human population density 🗹

Surrounding area has more intensive agricultural use

Surrounding area has significantly different land cover or habitat types \Box

Please describe other ways in which the surrounding area is different:

The human population in the municipality Herøy is 8,765 (2022)

- Grazing (sheep)
- Meteorology station in the village Runde
- Runde Environmental centre
- Businesses related to tourism such as cafés and camping sites
- Fishing in the open sea outside of Runde

4.5 - Ecosystem services

4.5.1 - Ecosystem services/benefits

Provisioning Services

Ecosystem service	Examples	Importance/Extent/Significance
Fresh water	Drinking water for humans and/or livestock	Medium
Wetland non-food products	Livestock fodder	Medium

Regulating Services

Ecosystem service	Examples	Importance/Extent/Significance
Hazard reduction	Coastal shoreline and river bank stabilization and storm protection	Medium

Cultural Services

Ecosystem service	Examples	Importance/Extent/Significance
Recreation and tourism	Recreational hunting and fishing	Medium
Recreation and tourism	Picnics, outings, touring	Medium
Recreation and tourism	Nature observation and nature-based tourism	High
Spiritual and inspirational	Cultural heritage (historical and archaeological)	High
Scientific and educational	Educational activities and opportunities	Medium
Scientific and educational	Important knowledge systems, importance for research (scientific reference area or site)	Medium
Scientific and educational	Major scientific study site	Medium

Supporting Services

Ecosystem service	Examples	Importance/Extent/Significance
Nutrient cycling	Carbon storage/sequestration	Medium
Nutrient cycling	Storage, recycling, processing and acquisition of nutrients	Medium

Other ecosystem service(s) not included above:

Businesses related to tourism such as cafés and camping sites.
Fishing in the open sea outside of Runde.

More than 32,000 tourists visited Runde in 2009, and the numbers are expected to rise.

- SEAPOP (seabird populations) is a holistic and long-term program for monitoring and mapping Norwegian seabirds. Runde is one of the monitoring key-sites (www.seapop.no).
- There are sheep grazing occurring on the island.
- Runde is a famous bird cliff nesting site in Norway.
- As a historical association, the Runde-treasure can be mentioned. In 1725 a Dutch ship carrying tons of gold and silver coins sank outside Runde. The treasure, which was discovered in 1972, is the biggest finding of coins in Norway

All of these values are consistent with the maintenance of the ecological character of Runde. The tourists are canalized to areas and along paths where disturbance of the birds are minimal.

aths where disturbance of the birds are minimal.	
Within the site:	32000
Have studies or assessments been made of ecosystem services prov	f the economic valuation of Yes O No O Unknown ® vided by this Ramsar Site?
.5.2 - Social and cultural values	
i) the site provides a model of wetland wis application of traditional knowledge and met use that maintain the ecologic	thods of management and
ii) the site has exceptional cultural trad civilizations that have influenced the ecologic	
iii) the ecological character of the wetland with local communiti	depends on its interaction ties or indigenous peoples
iv) relevant non-material values such as sau their existence is strongly linked with the mai	· _
no data available>	

4.6 - Ecological processes

<no data available>

5 - How is the Site managed? (Conservation and management)

5.1 - Land tenure and responsibilities (Managers)

5.1.1 - Land tenure/ownership

lic owners	

Category	Within the Ramsar Site	In the surrounding area
Provincial/region/state government	✓	✓

Private ownership

Category	Within the Ramsar Site	In the surrounding area
Other types of private/individual owner(s)	/	2

Provide further information on the land tenure / ownership regime (optional):

٠.				• • •
a١	within	the	Rams	ar site

Runde and Grasøyane: approximately 15% state-owned, 85% private (Runde and Grasøyane including Goksøyrmyrane: approximately 20% state-owned, 80% private)

b) in the surrounding area:

approximately 2% state owned, 98% private

If Goksøymyrane is included in the Ramsar site: approximately 2% state-owned, 98% private

The private property is common uncultivated land used as sheep grazing land.

5.1.2 - Management authority

agency or organization responsible for	The County Governor of Møre and Romsdal
managing the site:	
Provide the name and/or title of the person or people with responsibility for the wetland:	County Governor of Møre og Romsdal
Postal address:	Statsforvalteren i Møre og Romsdal Pb. 2520 N-6404 MOLDE

E-mail address: sfmrpost@statsforvalteren.no

5.2 - Ecological character threats and responses (Management)

5.2.1 - Factors (actual or likely) adversely affecting the Site's ecological character

Rinlogical resource use

Brond groun rood and a	lological resource as					
Factors adversely affecting site	Actual threat	Potential threat	Within the site	Changes	In the surrounding area	Changes
Fishing and harvesting aquatic resources	unknown impact	High impact		No change	>	No change

Human intrusions and disturbance

Factors adversely affecting site	Actual threat	Potential threat	Within the site	Changes	In the surrounding area	Changes
Recreational and tourism activities	Medium impact	High impact	✓	increase		No change

Invasive and other problematic species and genes

Factors adversely affecting site	Actual threat	Potential threat	Within the site	Changes	In the surrounding area	Changes
Invasive non-native/ alien species	Low impact	Medium impact	✓	decrease	✓	unknown

Pollution

Factors adversely affecting site	Actual threat	Potential threat	Within the site	Changes	In the surrounding area	Changes
Industrial and military effluents	Low impact	Medium impact		No change	/	No change

Please describe any other threats (optional):

In the surrounding area:

- fishing
- climate change can affect the food source, i.e. changes in the fish population is a possible explanation for the decrease in the seabird populations we now see, but it is unknown to what degree this occurs.
- oil spills from ships are a risk.
- trawling for kelp.

All birds and mammals, except for the invasive species the American mink Mustela vison, are protected in the surrounding area of the Ramsar Site.

As the site has become a popular tourist destination, some possible disturbance of birdlife has been registered. The situation is monitored.

5.2.2 - Legal conservation status

National legal designations

Designation type	Name of area	Online information url	Overlap with Ramsar Site
Bird Protection Areas	Grasøyane, Runde Westside, Runde Northside and Hellestien-Blåfjellet- Kløfjellet-Geita.		whole
Nature Reserve	Goksøyrmyrane		whole

Non-statutory designations

Designation type	Name of area	Online information url	Overlap with Ramsar Site
Important Bird Area	Runde		partly

5.2.3 - IUCN protected areas categories (2008)

1	la Strict Nature Reserve
	Ib Wilderness Area: protected area managed mainly for wilderness protection
	II National Park: protected area managed mainly for ecosystem protection and recreation
	III Natural Monument: protected area managed mainly for conservation of specific natural features
V	IV Habitat/Species Management Area: protected area managed mainly for conservation through management intervention
	V Protected Landscape/Seascape: protected area managed mainly for landscape/seascape conservation and recreation
	VI Managed Resource Protected Area: protected area managed mainly for the sustainable use of natural ecosystems

5.2.4 - Key conservation measures

Legal protection

zoga: protoceo:			
	Measures	Status	
	Legal protection	Implemented	

Human Activities

Hullian Activities	
Measures	Status
Regulation/management of recreational activities	Implemented

Other

All kind of human activities in the protected areas are regulated by an official set of detailed regulations. The tourists are directed to areas and along paths where disturbance of the birds are minimal. The visitors mainly stay on the paths, which minimize the negative impact on the vegetation outside the paths.

All birds and mammals, except for the invasive species American mink Mustela vison, are protected in the surrounding area of the Ramsar Site.

5.2.5 - Management planning

Is there a site-specific management plan for the site? Yes

Has a management effectiveness assessment been undertaken for the site? Yes ○ No ●

If the site is a formal transboundary site as indicated in section Data and location > Site location, are there shared management planning Yes O No opposes with another Contracting Party?

Please indicate if a Ramsar centre, other educational or visitor facility, or an educational or visitor programme is associated with the site:

- Runde Environmental Centre
- The facilities and infrastructure at the centre support various scientific disciplines, including biology, oceanography, meteorology, pollution research and environmental technology. Key roles for the centre consist of providing infrastructure for environmental research, monitoring and education (www.rundecenter.no)
- There are several nature trails/paths and information boards at Runde
- The government authority has published an information booklet
- In addition, there are several commercial internet sites providing information on Runde (not listed)

URL of site-related webpage (if relevant): www.rundecenter.no

5.2.6 - Planning for restoration

Is there a site-specific restoration plan? No need identified

5.2.7 - Monitoring implemented or proposed

Monitoring	Status
Birds	Implemented

SEAPOP (seabird populations) is a holistic and long term program for monitoring and mapping Norwegian seabirds. Runde is one of the monitoring key-sites of the program (www.seapop.no)

6 - Additional material

6.1 - Additional reports and documents

6.1.1 - Bibliographical references

Anker - Nilssen, T., Lorentsen, S - H., Folkestad, A. O., Olsen, O. & Valde, K. 2009. Key - site monitoring on Runde in 2008. Short SEAPOP Report 12-2009.

Fylkesmannen i Møre og Romsdal. 2013. Forvaltingsplan for Runde og Grasøyane fuglefredingsområde og Goksøyrmyrane naturreservat. Herøy og Ulstein kommunar. (In Norwegian - Translates: Management plan for Runde and Grasøyane bird protection area and Goksøymyrane Nature Reserve.)

Artsdatabanken (2021, 24. november). Norsk rødliste for arter 2021. https://www.artsdatabanken.no/lister/rodlisteforarter/2021/

Artsdatabanken (2018). Norsk rødliste for naturtyper 2018. Hentet (11.07.2022) fra https://www.artsdatabanken.no/rodlistefornaturtyper

Moen, A. 1998. Nasjonalatlas for Norge: Vegetasjon. Statens kartverk, Hønefoss

Personal communication, 2010. Folkestad, A.O. and Lorentsen, S-H., SEAPOP

Folkestad, A.O., 2008. Notat Runde Situasjon og utvikling for fuglefjellet 1956-2008.

6.1.2 - Additional reports and documents

i. taxonomic lists of plant and animal species occurring in the site (see section 4.3)

ii. a detailed Ecological Character Description (ECD) (in a national format)

iii. a description of the site in a national or regional wetland inventory

iv. relevant Article 3.2 reports

v. site management plan

<1 file(s) uploaded

vi. other published literature

6.1.3 - Photograph(s) of the Site

Please provide at least one photograph of the site:



Runde Northside (Øivind Leren, 06-08-2012)



Runde, Grasøy ane (Øivind



Nature Reserve sign, Runde Norwegian Environment Agency, 17-06-2010)

6.1.4 - Designation letter and related data

Designation letter

Date of Designation 2013-05-27