

Ramsar Information Sheet

Published on 9 July 2018 Update version, previously published on : 1 January 2012

Norway Harøya Wetlands System



Designation date Site number Coordinates Area

date18 March 1996mber806nates62°46'40"N 06°28'18"EArea190,00 ha

https://rsis.ramsar.org/ris/806 Created by RSIS V.1.6 on - 8 May 2020

Color codes

Fields back-shaded in light blue relate to data and information required only for RIS updates.

Note that some fields concerning aspects of Part 3, the Ecological Character Description of the RIS (tinted in purple), are not expected to be completed as part of a standard RIS, but are included for completeness so as to provide the requested consistency between the RIS and the format of a 'full' Ecological Character Description, as adopted in Resolution X.15 (2008). If a Contracting Party does have information available that is relevant to these fields (for example from a national format Ecological Character Description) it may, if it wishes to, include information in these additional fields.

1 - Summary

Summary

Harøya Wetlands System consists of 4 different reserves on an island off the mainland Norwegian coast: Lomstjønna, Selvikvågen and Lyngholman nature reserves, and Malesanden og Huse bird protection area. Harøya is situated in Sandøy municipality, near Molde city. Harøya Wetlands System consists of various habitat types, whose function is linked and which also supplement one another. The four sub-sites have much of the same ecological function. Lyngholman, Selvikvågen and Malesanden og Huse are all important sites for wintering and migrating birds. Especially during migration much of the birds use several of the sites, and the most numerous species found is Eurasian oystercatcher (IUCN: NT), common ringed plover, European golden plover, Northern lapwing (IUCN: NT, NRL: EN), ruddy turnstone, dunlin, bar-tailed godwit (IUCN: NT) and common snipe. During winter season one can find large numbers of wetland bird species around the island, species such as loons, divers, cormorants, ducks and black guillemot as the most numerous. In the mire areas one can find large colonies of parasitic jaeger (NRL: NT), gulls and terns.

Lomtjønna is a different kind of wetland with a small mire pool surrounded by poor, typical lowland fen and is an important breeding site for wetland birds which partly utilize sea and coastal areas for foraging.

Selvikvågen is a much larger area with shallow water and large, relatively intact saltmarshes, rather exposed to the west. The area is of importance during feeding, staging and also as a breeding and wintering site for ducks and waders.

Lyngholman is, along with Selvikvågen, a large area of saltmarsh and shallow water, important for breeding, feeding, staging and wintering species. Both areas are botanically important.

Malesanden og Huse are is a more sheltered location on the eastern parts of Harøya with large areas of shallow shores of importance during winter months. Additionally, small belts of seashores comprise important nesting sites and also during migration. The Site is also valuable from a botanical viewpoint.

2 - Data & location

- 2.1 Formal data
- 2.1.1 Name and address of the compiler of this RIS

Compiler 1

Name	Pernille Kvernland
Institution/agency	Norwegian Environment Agency
Postal address	Post box 5672 Torgarden, N-7485 Trondheim, Norway
E-mail	post@miljodir.no
Phone	+47 73580500

2.1.2 - Period of collection of data and information used to compile the RIS

From year	1978
To year	2015

2.1.3 - Name of the Ramsar Site

Official name (in English, French or	Harøya Wetlands System
Spanish)	
Unofficial name (optional)	Harøya våtmarkssystem

2.1.4 - Changes to the boundaries and area of the Site since its designation or earlier update

(Update) A Changes to Site boundary Yes O No (

(Update) B. Changes to Site area No change to area

2.1.5 - Changes to the ecological character of the Site

^(Update) 6b i. Has the ecological character of the Ramsar Site (including applicable Criteria) changed since the previous RIS?

2.2 - Site location

2.2.1 - Defining the Site boundaries

b) Digital map/image

<5 file(s) uploaded>

Former maps 0

Boundaries description

Boundaries of the Harøya Wetlands System are the same as the boundaries of the four protected areas; Lomtjønna, Lyngholman, Selvikvågen and Malesanden og Huse.

2.2.2 - General location

a) In which large administrative region does	Mare and Romsdal
the site lie?	
b) What is the nearest town or population	
,	Alesund, approx pop. est. 47 000 (2016) and Molde, approx pop. est. 27 000 (2016)
centre?	

2.2.3 - For wetlands on national boundaries only

a) Does the wetland extend onto the territory of one or more other countries? Yes O No ()

b) Is the site adjacent to another designated Ramsar Site on the territory of another Contracting Party?

2.2.4 - Area of the Site

Official area, in hectares (ha): 190

Area, in hectares (ha) as calculated from GIS boundaries

2.2.5 - Biogeography

Biogeographic regions	
Regionalisation scheme(s)	Biogeographic region
EU biogeographic regionalization	2. Atlantic
Other scheme (provide name below)	1. Southern boreal vegetation zone, highly oceanic section (Sb – O3).

Other biogeographic regionalisation scheme

 Zonal division showing the variation in vegetation from south to north and from the lowlands to the mountains, and sectional graduation showing the variation between the coast and inland (In: Moen, A.1998. Nasjonalatlas for Norge; vegetasjon. Statens kartverk, Hønefoss).
 Biogeographical regions of Europe, European Environment Agency, 2005

3 - Why is the Site important?

3.1 - Ramsar Criteria and their justification

Criterion 1: Representative, rare Other reasons	or unique natural or near-natural wetland types Harøya Wetlands System is representative for various forms of shallow waters and saltmarshes in the region. Some of the sub-sites are relatively little influenced by man and consist of well-developed wetlands, especially shallow waters and large saltmarsh zones. Parts of the meadows at Huse are still in a good traditional state (used for grazing), which increases the value as a reference site.
Criterion 2 : Rare species and th	reatened ecological communities

Criterion 4 : Support during critical life cycle stage or in adverse conditions

Criterion 5 : >20,000 waterbirds

Overall waterbird numbers	30 000
Start year	2005
Source of data:	*

☑ Criterion 6 : >1% waterbird population

3.2 - Plant species whose presence relates to the international importance of the site

 ·		

3.3 - Animal species whose presence relates to the international importance of the site

Phylum	Scientific name	Common name	Speciesqualifiesundercriterion2469	Species contributes under criterion 3 5 7 8	Pop. Size	Period of pop. Est.	% occurrence 1)	IUCN Red List	CITES Appendix I	CMS Appendix I	Other Status	Justification
Birds												
CHORDATA / AVES	Anas platyrhynchos	Mallard			200			LC Stress				Criterion 4: 200 individuals during autumn migration and winter season
CHORDATA / AVES	Anser anser 🕌 🕰 🔎	Greylag Goose			500			LC Sir				200-300 pairs (maximum numbers observed, 2010) during breeding season. 1350 individuals (2010) during autumn migration and winter season. Criterion 4: This species breeds within the area.
CHORDATA / AVES	Anser fabalis 📲 🛄 💫	Bean Goose	ØOOC)						National Red List: Considered as VU, Ann. III Berne Conv.	

Phylum	Scientific name	Common name	Species qualifies under criterion 2 4 6 9	Species contributes under criterion 3 5 7 8	Pop. Size	Period of pop. Est. oc	% c currence 1)	IUCN Red A List	CITES Appendix I	CMS Appendix I	Other Status	Justification
CHORDATA / AVES	Arenaria interpres	Ruddy Turnstone			150			LC Strainer				150 individuals (2005), approx 50 pairs (2010). Criterion 4: One of the most numerous species found during migrations.
CHORDATA / AVES	Aythya marila	Greater Scaup	eooo					LC Str			National Red List: Considered as VU, Ann. III Berne Convention	
CHORDATA / AVES	Calidris alpina	Dunlin			200			LC Str				200+ individuals, 15 pairs (2010). Criterion 4: One of the most numerous species found during migrations.
CHORDATA / AVES	Calidris alpina schinzii	Dunlin										Criterion 4: As for breeding birds, the occurrence of this rare subspecies of Dunlin is of special interest.
CHORDATA / AVES	Calidris maritima	Purple Sandpiper			750			LC Str				500-1000 individuals (2010) Criterion 4: This area is important for this species during autumn migration and winter season.
CHORDATA / AVES	Cepphus grylle	Black Guillemot	ØØOO		300			LC Strainer			National Red List: Considered as VU, Ann. III Berne Convention	100-200 pairs (2010). Criterion 4: This species uses this area during winter season.
CHORDATA / AVES	Charadrius hiaticula	Common Ringed Plover			50			LC Strainer Strainer				25 pairs (2010). Criterion 4: One of the most numerous species found during migrations.
CHORDATA / AVES	Clangula hyemalis	Oldsquaw; Long- tailed Duck	ØØOO		12500			VU •••• ••••			National Red List: Considered as NT	12 000-13 000 individuals (2010). Criterion 4: In winter the shallow areas are important for this species.
CHORDATA / AVES	Gallinago gallinago	Common Snipe			200			LC Strainer Strainer				200+ individuals (2010). Criterion 4: One of the most numerous species found during migrations.
CHORDATA / AVES	Haematopus ostralegus	Eurasian Oystercatcher			500							500 individuals (maximum number of individuals, 2005). 125 pairs (2010). Criterion 4: One of the most numerous species found during migrations.
CHORDATA / AVES	Larus argentatus	Herring Gull			750			LC				350-400 pairs (maximum numbers observed, 2010). Criterion 4: This species breeds within the area.
CHORDATA / AVES	Larus canus	Mew Gull			1300						National Red List: Considered as NT	600-650 pairs (maximum numbers observed, 2010). Criterion 4: This species breeds within the area.
CHORDATA / AVES	Larus fuscus	Lesser Black- backed Gull			350			LC				150-170 pairs (2010). Criterion 4: This species breeds within the area.
CHORDATA / AVES	Larus marinus	Great Black- backed Gull			1500			LC				750 pairs (2010). Criterion 4: This species breeds within the area.
CHORDATA / AVES	Limosa lapponica	Bar-tailed Godwit			75			NT				50 individuals (autumn), 75 individuals (winter) (2010). Criterion 4: One of the most numerous species found during migrations.
CHORDATA / AVES	Melanitta fusca	White-winged Scoter; Velvet Scoter			900			VU Sim			National Red List: Considered as VU	900 individuals (maximum number of individuals, 2005). Criterion 4: In winter the shallow areas are important for this species.
CHORDATA / AVES	Mergus serrator	Red-breasted Merganser			500			LC Star				500 individuals (maximum number of individuals, 2005). Criterion 4: In winter the shallow areas are important for this species.

Phylum Scientific name	Common name	Species qualifies under criterion 2 4 6 9	Species contributes under criterion 3 5 7 8	Pop. Size Period of pop. Est	% occurrence 1)	IUCN Red / List	CITES Appendix I	CMS Appendix I	Other Status	Justification
CHORDATA Motacilla flava / AVES	Western Yellow Wagtail									Criterion 4: This species formerlybred at Huse, although the status today is unknown.
CHORDATA Phalacrocorax / carbo AVES	Great Cormorant			5300 2005	4.4	LC Star Star				5300 individuals (maximum number of individuals, 2005). Criterion 4: In winter the shallow areas are important for this species. Criterion 6: Biogeographic Region: carbo, North-west Europe
CHORDATA Phalaropus / lobatus AVES F	Red-necked Phalarope									Criterion 4: This species breeds within the area.
CHORDATA Pluvialis apricaria / AVES	European Golden Plover; European Golden-Plover			250						200-300 individuals (2010). Criterion 4: One of the most numerous species found during migrations.
CHORDATA Podiceps auritus	Horned Grebe			20					National Red List: Considered as VU	20 individuals (2010). Criterion 4: This species breeds within the area.
CHORDATA Podiceps / grisegena AVES	Red-necked Grebe			180		LC Star Star				180 individuals (maximum number of individuals, 2005). Criterion 4: In winter the shallow areas are important for this species.
CHORDATA _{Rissa tridactyla} / AVES	Black-legged Kittiwake								National Red List: Considered as EN, Ann. III Berne Convention	Criterion 4: This species breeds within the area.
CHORDATA Somateria / mollissima AVES	Common Eider			1000						500 pairs (2010). Criterion 4: In winter the shallow areas are important for this species. The area also constitute an important breeding and nursing area.
CHORDATA Stercorarius / parasiticus AVES	Parasitic Jaeger			110					National Red List: Considered as NT	50-60 pairs (2010). Criterion 4: This species breeds within the area.
CHORDATA Sterna paradisaea	Arctic Tern			2000					Ann. Il Berne Convention, Emerald Network	1000+ pairs (2010). Criterion 4: This species breeds within the area.
CHORDATA / AVES	Common Shelduck	2200		40		LC Str			Ann. Il Berne Convention	12-20 pairs (maximum numbers observed, 2010). Criterion 4: This species breeds within the area, and the area constitute an important nursing area.
	Common Murre	ØOOO				LC Star			National Red List: Considered as CR, Ann. III Berne Convention	
CHORDATA Vanellus vanellus / AVES	Northern Lapwing			30					National Red List: Considered as EN	10-20 pairs (2010). Criterion 4: One of the most numerous species found during migrations.
Others										
CHORDATA / MAMMALIA	European Otter	ØOOO				NT	Ø		National Red List: Considered as VU, Ann. II Berne Convention, Emerald Network.	
CHORDATA / MAVIMALIA	Harbor Seal								National Red List: Considered as VU, Ann. III Berne Convention, Emerald Network.	

1) Percentage of the total biogeographic population at the site

Capitalized letters show the species' status on the National Red List 2015.

Additional information on Biological Components: There is a reason to believe that these counts cover a larger area than those protected as nature reserve or bird protection area. In particular divers, grebes, cormorants and diving ducks use areas outside the Ramsar site, especially the wildlife preservation area on the east side of Harøya.

3.4 - Ecological communities whose presence relates to the international importance of the site

Name of ecological community	Community qualifies under Criterion 2?	Description	Justification
Sand-dune system	Ø	National Red List: Considered as VU	

Optional text box to provide further information

Capitalized letters shows the species' status on the National Red List for Ecosystems and Habitat types 2011.

4 - What is the Site like? (Ecological character description)

4.1 - Ecological character

The wetland system consists of several shallow bays, with extensive tidal mud- and sandflats with seaweed zones and sand dune systems. The extensive marine shallow waters with its tidal flats allow for large quantities of seaweed to accumulate. Sand dunes and wet meadows create a zone between the shoreline and the inland mires and euthrophic freshwater marsh. The wetlands of Harøya are important as a good example of coastal wetlands, partly due to their large extent and geographic position. Seaweed areas within the site provide rich feeding opportunities for birds. Due to abundant food, Harøya wetlands system is particularly important for waterbirds during migration and in winter. It also serves as a breeding site for many species. Up to 10,000 birds winter at the site. The sand-dune system (NRL: VU) and tidal meadow system (NRL: NT) are threatened habitat types found in this wetland system.

Selvikvågen, Lyngholman and Huse and Malesanden comprise of saltmarshes, brackish communities, seaweed communities and coastal marshes, bordering mires and cultural habitats. At Malesanden there are areas of drifting sand and dune heath. Lomtjønna is an area of the ombrotrophic mire with five dystrophic pools with little vegetation. There are large tidal and shallow areas at all of these sites which border the sea, and depth at low water is barely five metres. The sites are used by staging and wintering species such as divers, grebes, waders, cormorants, grey heron, ducks and gulls and for breeding divers, waders, ducks, gulls and passerines associated with wetlands. Otter and harbour seal also occur in the area.

4.2 - What wetland type(s) are in the site?

Marine or coastal wetlands

Wetland types (code and name)	Local name	Ranking of extent (1: greatest - 4: least)	Area (ha) of wetland type	Justification of Criterion 1
A: Permanent shallow marine waters		1		Representative
B: Marine subtidal aquatic beds (Underwater vegetation)		4		
D: Rocky marine shores				
E: Sand, shingle or pebble shores				
G: Intertidal mud, sand or salt flats		2		
H: Intertidal marshes		3		Representative

Inland wetlands

Wetland types (code and name)	Local name	Ranking of extent (1: greatest - 4: least)	Area (ha) of wetland type	Justification of Criterion 1
Fresh water > Marshes on peat soils >> U: Permanent Non- forested peatlands				

4.3 - Biological components

4.3.1 - Plant species

Other noteworthy plant species

Scientific name	Common name	Position in range / endemism / other
Aira praecox	Early hair-grass	
Carex arenaria	Sand sedge	
Catabrosa aquatica		National Red List: Considered as NT
Cochlearia officinalis	Common scurvygrass	Presence of this species indicate the area have been enriched by bird droppings
Entoloma queletii		National Red List: Considered as NT
Geoglossum cookeanum		National Red List: Considered as NT
Geoglossum fallax		are associated with natural grazing land.
Luzula campestris	Field woodrush	
Ranunculus sceleratus	Celery-leaved buttercup	
Veronica arvensis	Wall speedwell	

Invasive alien plant species			
Scientific name	Common name	Impacts	Changes at RIS update
Picea sitchensis	Menzies spruce	Potentially	No change
Pinus uncinata	Mountain pine	Potentially	No change

Optional text box to provide further information

Capitalized letters shows the species' status on the National Red List 2015 and on the National Red List for Ecosystems and Habitat types 2011.

Species listed under Biological Components which are not yet included in the Catalogue of Life: Geoglossum cookeanum (National Red List: Considered as NT) associated with natural grazing land.

Generally, there are few plant species found in this wetland system. However, several plant species found here are rare or unusual for this county, such as Carex maritima, Eleocharis mamillata mamillata, Carex subspathacea, Hippuris vulgaris, Potamogeton berchtoldii, Stuckenia filiformis, Callitriche stagnalis, Stellaria crassifolia and Jacobaea aquatica.

4.3.2 - Animal species

Other noteworthy animal species

Phylum	Scientific name	Common name	Pop. size	Period of pop. est.	%occurrence	Position in range /endemism/other
CHORDATAMAMMALIA	Cervus elaphus	Elk				This species occur throughout the wetland.
CHORDATAAVES	Haliaeetus albicilla	White-tailed Eagle				This species is common in this wetland

Changes at RIS update

No change

Invasive alien animal species	3		
Phylum	Scientific name	Common name	Impacts
CHORDATA/MAMMALIA	Neovison vison	American Mink	Potentially

Optional text box to provide further information

American mink is commonly encountered both outside and inside the wetland, and is a potential threat for breeding birds.

4.4 - Physical components

4.4.1 - Climate

а

a

Climatic region	Subregion
D: Moist Mid-Latitude climate with cold winters	Dfc: Subarctic (Severe winter, no dry season, cool summer)

The area has an oceanic climate with mild winters and relatively cool summers. Annual average temperatures of 8°C. Annual precipitation is 1200 mm (range: 1000 – 1500 mm), with approximately 220 days of precipitation.

4.4.2 - Geomorphic setting

Minimum elevation above sea level (in metres)	0
Maximum elevation above sea level (in metres)	32
	Entire river basin
	Upper part of river basin
	Mddle part of river basin
	Lower part of river basin
	More than one river basin
	Not in river basin
	Coastal 🗹

Please name the river basin or basins. If the site lies in a sub-basin, please also name the larger river basin. For a coastal/marine site, please name the sea or ocean. Norwegian Sea

liternegian

4.4.3 - Soil

Mineral 🗵

(Update) Changes at RIS update No change
Increase O Decrease O Unknown O

Organic 🗹

(Update) Changes at RIS update No change
 Increase O Decrease O Unknown O

No available information 🛛

Are soil types subject to change as a result of changing hydrological conditions (e.g., increased salinity or acidification)? Yes O No (

Please provide further information on the soil (optional)

Sub-sites bordering the sea have a varied substrate of rocks, stones, gravel, sand, clay and silt as well as some bare rock with raw humus and peat formation. Lomstjønna probably has a substrate made up entirely of peat.

The whole municipality is composed of marine deposits and peat, although there are some rocky outcrops.

The bedrock mainly consist of gneiss and regionally methamorphic gneiss that contain mica.

RIS for Site no. 806, Harøya Wetlands System, Norway

Water permanence		
Presence?	Changes at RIS update	
Usually permanent water present		
Source of water that maintain	s character of the site	Changes at RIS undate
Marine water		Ne shange
Ivianne water		No change
Stability of water regime		
Presence?	Changes at RIS update	
Water levels fluctuating (including tidal)	No change	

Please add any comments on the water regime and its determinants (if relevant). Use this box to explain sites with complex hydrology.

The depth of Lomtjønna is unknown and the second southernmost pool is connected to a small pipeline and is subject to fluctuations. The shallow coastal waters are no deeper than approximately 5 metres during the lowest low tides. The variation between high and low tides measured at Ålesund averages annually 123 cm. The hydrological condition in most of the site is considered stable.

4.4.5 - Sediment regime Sediment regime unknown 4.4.6 - Water pH Unknown 🗵 4.4.7 - Water salinity Fresh (<0.5 g/l) (Update) Changes at RIS update No change
Increase O Decrease O Unknown O Euhaline/Eusaline (30-40 g/l) 🖉 (Update) Changes at RIS update No change
 Increase O Decrease O Unknown O Unknown 🗆

4.4.8 - Dissolved or suspended nutrients in water

Dystrophic 🗹

(Update) Changes at RIS update No change
 Increase O Decrease O Unknown O

Unknown

Please provide further information on dissolved or suspended nutrients (optional):

Atlantic seawater with exchange of large amounts of water affects all the sub-sites bordering the sea. Lomtjønna is probably slightly dystrophic.

	4.4	.9 -	Features	of the	surrounding	area which	may affe	ect the Site
--	-----	------	----------	--------	-------------	------------	----------	--------------

Please describe whether, and if so how, the landscape and ecological characteristics in the area surrounding the Ramsar Site differ from the i) broadly similar O ii) significantly different O site itself: Surrounding area has greater urbanisation or development \Box Surrounding area has higher human population density 🗹 Surrounding area has more intensive agricultural use Surrounding area has significantly different land cover or habitat types \Box

Please describe other ways in which the surrounding area is different:

With the exception of Malesanden, all the sub-sites have scattered buildings and modern agricultural activities nearby. A bird observation tower is situated beside Huse.

4.5 - Ecosystem services

4.5.1 - Ecosystem services/benefits

Ecosystem service	Examples	Importance/Extent/Significance
Wetland non-food products	Livestock fodder	Medium
Wetland non-tood products	Livestock fodder	Medium

Ecosystem service	Examples	Importance/Extent/Significance
Hazard reduction	Coastal shoreline and river bank stabilization and storm protection	High

Cultural Services

RIS for Site no. 806, Harøya Wetlands System, Norway

Ecosystem service	Examples	Importance/Extent/Significance
Recreation and tourism	Nature observation and nature-based tourism	Medium
Recreation and tourism	Picnics, outings, touring	Medium
Recreation and tourism	Recreational hunting and fishing	Medium
Scientific and educational	Educational activities and opportunities	Low

Supporting Services

Ecosystem service	Examples	Importance/Extent/Significance
Nutrient cycling	Carbon storage/sequestration	Medium

Other ecosystem service(s) not included above

The rocky shore is important for shoreline stabilization.

Harøya Wetland System is important for recreational activities. The area is used for walking, sports fishing and birdwatching. There is a holiday cabin at Huse and a few small boathouses.

Some grazing at Huse, as well as at Lyngholman and Selvikvågen.

The area is visited by birdwatchers, in particular by members of the Møre og Romsdal branch of the Norwegian Ornithological Society (NOF).

Information boards are placed in Selvikvågen, and here it is also provided facilities for educational purposes.

Lomstjønna is used by the locals to go ice-skating during winter.

Have studies or assessments been made of the economic valuation of ecosystem services provided by this Ramsar Site? Yes O No O Unknown @

4.5.2 - Social and cultural values

i) the site provides a model of wetland wise use, demonstrating the application of traditional knowledge and methods of management and Duse that maintain the ecological character of the wetland

ii) the site has exceptional cultural traditions or records of former $\hfill\square$ civilizations that have influenced the ecological character of the wetland

iii) the ecological character of the wetland depends on its interaction with local communities or indigenous peoples

iv) relevant non-material values such as sacred sites are present and their existence is strongly linked with the maintenance of the ecological character of the wetland

<no data available>

4.6 - Ecological processes

(ECD) Nutrient cycling Presence of common scurvygrass indicate the area have been enriched by bird droppings

5 - How is the Site managed? (Conservation and management)

5.1 - Land tenure and responsibilities (Managers)

5.1.1 - Land tenure/ownership

Private ownership		
Category	Within the Ramsar Site	In the surrounding area
Other types of private/individual owner(s)	Ø	Ø

Provide further information on the land tenure / ownership regime (optional):

Within the Ramsar site: Private.

In the surrounding area: Private.

5.1.2 - Management authority

Please list the local office / offices of any agency or organization responsible for	County Governor of Møre og Romsdal
managing the site:	
Postal address:	Fylkeshusa, 6404 Molde, Norway
E-mail address:	postmottak@fmmr.no

5.2 - Ecological character threats and responses (Management)

5.2.1 - Factors (actual or likely) adversely affecting the Site's ecological character

Human settlements (non agricultural)

Factors adversely affecting site	Actual threat	Potential threat	Within the site	Changes	In the surrounding area	Changes
Housing and urban areas					I	

Human intrusions and disturbance

Factors adversely affecting site	Actual threat	Potential threat	Within the site	Changes	In the surrounding area	Changes
Recreational and tourism activities	Medium impact	Medium impact		No change	×	No change

Natural system modification	าร					
Factors adversely affecting site	Actual threat	Potential threat	Within the site	Changes	In the surrounding area	Changes
Unspecified/others	Medium impact	Medium impact	×	No change	×	No change

Invasive and other problematic species and genes

Factors adversely affecting site	Actual threat	Potential threat	Within the site	Changes	In the surrounding area	Changes
Invasive non-native/ alien species	Medium impact	High impact	X	No change	×	No change

Pollution						
Factors adversely affecting site	Actual threat	Potential threat	Within the site	Changes	In the surrounding area	Changes
Agricultural and forestry					1	
effluents						

Please describe any other threats (optional):

Within the Ramsar site:

Overgrowing and changes in agriculture are potential threats to the area. Planting of shelter belts is hardly positive for the natural values within the bird protection areas. Menzies spruce and mountain pine constitute an actual threat. The trees are old enough to produce pine cones, which might result in these species spreading within the area. Grazing is important in order to prevent overgrowing and further dispersion of the alien tree species.

The American mink is a suspected threat to the breeding birds found in this area.

In the surrounding area:

Planting of shelter belts is hardly positive for the natural values within the bird protection areas. There is some disturbance from boats in and near the bird sanctuaries.

5.2.2 - Legal conservation status

National legal designations

Designation type	Name of area	Online information url	Overlap with Ramsar Site
bird protection area	Huse and Malesanden		partly
nature reserve	Lomtjønna, Selvikvågen and Lyngholman		partly

5.2.3 - IUCN protected areas categories (2008)

la Strict Nature Reserve 🜌

- Ib Wilderness Area: protected area managed mainly for wilderness protection
 - Il National Park: protected area managed mainly for ecosystem protection and recreation
- III Natural Monument: protected area managed mainly for conservation of specific natural features
- IV Habitat/Species Management Area: protected area managed mainly for conservation through management intervention
- VProtected Landscape/Seascape: protected area managed mainly for landscape/seascape conservation and recreation
- VI Managed Resource Protected Area: protected area managed mainly for the sustainable use of natural ecosystems

5.2.4 - Key conservation measures

Legal protection	
Measures	Status
Legal protection	Implemented

5.2.5 - Management planning

Is there a site-specific management plan for the site? Yes

Has a management effectiveness assessment been undertaken for the site? Yes O No ()

If the site is a formal transboundary site as indicated in section Data and location > Site location, are there shared management planning Yes O No processes with another Contracting Party?

Please indicate if a Ramsar centre, other educational or visitor facility, or an educational or visitor programme is associated with the site:

A bird observation tower is situated beside Huse. An information booklet is produced by the management authorities, comprising all the Ramsar sites in Møre and Romsdal county.

5.2.6 - Planning for restoration

Is there a site-specific restoration plan? No need identified

5.2.7 - Monitoring implemented or proposed

<no data available>

6 - Additional material

6.1 - Additional reports and documents

6.1.1 - Bibliographical references

Henriksen, S., Hilmo, O., 2015. Norsk rødliste for arter 2015 (red). Artsdatabanken, Norge - 2015 Norwegian Red List. Artsdatabanken, Norway

Lindgaard A, Henriksen S (eds) (2011) Norsk rødliste for naturtyper 2011. Artsdatabanken, Norge - 2011 Norwegian Red List for Ecosystems and Habitat Types. Artsdatabanken, Norway

Botanical and management plans:

Holten, J. I., Frisvoll, A. A. & Aune, E. I. 1986. Havstrand i Møre og Romsdal. Lokalitetsbeskrivelser. Økoforsk rapport 1986:3B. (In Norwegian – descriptions of coastal sites in Møre og Romsdal).

Jordal, J. B. 2005. Kartlegging av naturtypar i Sandøy kommune. Ressurssenteret i Tingvoll, rapport nr. 1-2005. 73 s. (In Norwegian – on mapping of vegetation types in Sandøy municipality).

Birds:

Folkestad, A. O. 1978. Fylkesvis oversikt over ornitologisk viktige våtmarksområder i Norge. Møre og Romsdal. Miljøverndepartementet juni 1978. (In Norwegian – on important wetlands in Møre og Romsdal).

Fylkesmannen i Møre og Romsdal, Miljøvernavdelinga, 1982. Utkast til verneplan for våtmarksområde i Møre og Romsdal. Fylkesmannen i Møre og Romsdal, Miljøvernavdelinga. 224 s. (In Norwegian – draft management plan for wetlands in Møre og Romsdal).

Norwegian - on Birdlife in Norwegian Ramsar sites.

Forvaltningsplan for Lyngholman naturreservat, Sandøy kommune. Fylkesmannen i Møre og Romsdal, Miljøvernavdelinga. Rapport 2010:10.

Forvaltningsplan for Selvikvågen naturreservat Sandøy kommune, fylkesmannen i møre og romsdal, miljøvernavdelinga, rapport 2010:09

Forvaltningsplan for Lomstjønna naturreservat, Sandøy kommune. Fylkesmannen i Møre og Romsdal, Miljøvernavdelinga. Rapport 2010:08.

RAMSAR SITES IN MØRE OG ROMSDAL, NORWAY, 2005

6.1.2 - Additional reports and documents

i. taxonomic lists of plant and animal species occurring in the site (see section 4.3)

ii. a detailed Ecological Character Description (ECD) (in a national format)

iii. a description of the site in a national or regional wetland inventory

iv. relevant Article 3.2 reports

v. site management plan

<2 file(s) uploaded>

vi. other published literature

<3 file(s) uploaded>

6.1.3 - Photograph(s) of the Site

Please provide at least one photograph of the site:



Lyngholman Nature Reserve (Øivind Leren , 10-05-2015)

6.1.4 - Designation letter and related data

Designation letter

Date of Designation 1996-03-18